CUMULATIVE ROLE OF BASAL AND FOLIAR FERTILISATION IN ENHANCING THE YIELD OF LAHA-1013

M. M. R. K. AFRIDI, M. A. PARVAIZ AND SAMIULLAH

Department of Botany, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh

ABSTRACT

The effect of eight combinations of leaf-applied nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur (20Kg N, 2Kg P₂O₄ and 2 Kg S/hectare), in the presence of two basal doses of nitrogen and phosphorus i.e. 60Kg N+20Kg P₂O₄/ and 30Kg N+10 Kg P₂O₄/ hectare, on yield attributes of mustard (Brassica janca L. Czern. & Coss.) variety Laha-101 was studied in the field in 1976-77.

The basal dose of 60 Kg N and 20 Kg P₁O₆/hectare and the combined apray of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur gave the best results. Considering the interaction effect, it was noted that each spray treatment proved better for most of the yield attributes at the higher basal level. However, the response of leaf-applied nutrients, on the basis of percent increase over control, was more with the lower basal dose.

The combined spray of 20 Kg N and 2 Kg each of P₂O₅ and S/hectzre on plants receiving the basal dose of 60 Kg N and 20 Kg P₂O₅/ hectzre may be recommended for optimum yields of Laha-101.

INTRODUCTION

India is facing an "edible oil crisis" as the production of oilseeds has failed to keep pace with the enlarging domestic demands. If the shortage continues, it is feared to assume very serious proportions. It has been estimated that by 1985-86 we would have to import about 1.7 million tonnes of edible oil which is more than three times of the present figure (Parvaiz, 1980).

This alarming situation warrants immediate redressing measures to boost oilseed yield. Breeding high yielding varieties and exploiting their genetic potential by judicious application of fertilisers are the two methods at the disposal of researchers to help improve the situation. In the present experiment, an attempt has been made to optimise the yield of Laha-

101, a high yielding variety of mustard, using the technique of foliar fertilisation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted on sandy loam soil (sand, 75.6%; silt, 15.2%; clay 9.2%; pH (1:2), 8.0; available N, P & K : 225.45, 17.92 & 924.00 Kg/ hectare respectively). In a split plot design, with 40 Kg K,O/hectare added uniformly as muriate of potash, urea and calcium superphosphate were applied in two combinations. One set comprised of 60 Kg N and 20 Kg P2O5/hectare, while in the other the dose of the two nutrients were halved to 40 Kg N and 10 Kg P2O2 hectare. In all, there were sixteen treatments, including the control, comprising eight foliar applications of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur singly or in various

^{1.} Accepted for publication on May 25, 1982.

combinations (sub-plot treatments) and two basal treatments as explained above (main plot treatments). Each treatment was replicated thrice. Nitrogen was sprayed as urea (2%) at the rate of 20 Kg N/hectare; phosphorus, as sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate (0.2%) at the rate of 2 Kg P₂O₂/hectare and sulphur, as sodium sulphate (1%) at the rate of 2 Kg S/hectare. All the plots were sprayed at 70 days (flowering stage) and 90 days (fruiting stage) after sowing (Naqvi, 1976 and Naqvi et al., 1977).

Healthy seeds of uniform size and weight, pre-treated with absolute alcohol for surface sterilisation were sown in 10 sq m plots at the rate of 10 Kg/hectare. The furrows were kept 22.5 cm apart and

the number of seeds/furrow was maintained at 15. The field received three irrigations between sowing and harvesting. Weeding was done twice during the entire course of growth of plants. "Dimecron-100", containing phosphamidon, was sprayed for aphid control. The following parameters were studied at harvest: number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, hecto-litre weight, oil percentage, seed yield per hectare and oil yield per hectare.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effect of main plot, as well as of of sub plot, treatments was found significant on all the characters studied (Tables I & II). The difference between two

TABLE I

EFFECT OF VARIOUS COMBINATIONS OF LEAF-APPLIED N, P AND S WITH TWO BASAL DOSES OF N AND P ON PODS/PLANT, SEEDS/POD AND HECTO-LITTE WEIGHT IN LAHA-101

(Mean of three replicates)

| Foliar Treatments (Sub plot) | Pods/plant | | | | Seeds/po | d | Hecto-litre weight (g) | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | Basal Treatments (Main plot) | | | | | | | | |
| | $\mathrm{N}_{30}\mathrm{P}_{10}$ | $N_{60}P_{20}$ | Mean | N ₈₀ P ₁₀ | N ₈₀ P ₈₀ | Mean | N ₈₀ P ₁₀ | N ₆₀ P ₃₀ | Mean |
| Water | 167.333 | 307.666 | 237.500 | 9.000 | 9.666 | 9.333 | 678.126 | 642.143 | 660.135 |
| N | 183.666 | 322.000 | 252.833 | 10.000 | 10.666 | 10.333 | 642.100 | 632.083 | 637.091 |
| P | 198.666 | 311.333 | 255.000 | 9.333 | 10.000 | 9.666 | 690.130 | 678.100 | 684.115 |
| S | 194.333 | 312.333 | 253.333 | 9.333 | 9.666 | 9.500 | 692.073 | 682.683 | 687.378 |
| NP | 191.000 | 314.666 | 252.833 | 9.666 | 11.333 | 10.500 | 682.266 | 650.176 | 666.221 |
| NS | 199.333 | 318.000 | 258.666 | 9.666 | 10.666 | 10.166 | | | 681.196 |
| PS | 193.666 | 315.666 | 254.666 | 9.000 | 9.666 | 9.333 | | | 695.240 |
| NPS | 196.666 | 346.666 | 271.666 | 10.000 | 10.666 | 10.333 | | | 684.076 |
| Mean | 190.583 | 318.541 | | 9.500 | 10.291 | | 682.530 | 666.332 | |
| N.B. Potassiu | um was added | l uniforml | y to the so | il at 40Kg | K ₂ O/hect | are, | | | |
| | | | | |). at 5% | | .D. at 5% | C | .D. at 5% |
| Main plot treatment | | | 6.841* | | | 0.358* 0. | | .087* | |
| Sub plot treatment The difference of the main plot means at the salessed of the main plot means | | | | 5.436* | | | 0.547 • 0.0 | | .067* |
| level - Carl | e of the main | plot mean | s at the sa | me | | | | | |
| rever or sup bi | ot | | | 9.37 | 73 ♥ | N | 1.S. | 0 | .117* |
| The difference | of the sub pl | lot means a | at the sam | c | | | | | |
| level of main | plot | | | 7.68 | | 1 | v.s. | . 0 | .094 |
| | | | | -Signific | | | | | 1. |
| | | | N.S. | -Non-sig | nificant : | The state of the state of | | | A Paris |

TABLE II

EFFECT OF VARIOUS COMBINATIONS OF LEAF-APPLIED N, P and S with two basal doses of N and P on oil percentage, seed and oil yield in laha-101

(Mean of three replicates)

| Foliar Treatments (Sub plot) | | Oil percentage | | | | Kg/hectare |) Oil | Oil yield (Kg/hectare) | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Basal Treatments (Main plot) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | N ₃₀ P ₁₀ | N ₆₀ P ₂₀ | Mean | N ₃₀ P ₁₀ | N40P20 | Mean | N ₈₀ P ₁₀ | NeoPso | Mean | | | |
| Water | 36.113 | 33.060 | 34.586 | 626.666 | 1080.000 | 853.333 | 226.301 | 357.068 | 291.684 | | | |
| N | 32.993 | 30.040 | 31.516 | 933.333 | 1320.000 | 1126.666 | 307.933 | 396.530 | 352.232 | | | |
| P | 40.073 | 38.046 | 39.060 | 893.333 | 1253.333 | 1073.333 | 357.984 | 476.850 | 417.417 | | | |
| S | 41.046 | 38.066 | 39.556 | 653.333 | 1201.666 | 927.500 | 268,176 | 457.433 | 362.804 | | | |
| NP | 38.113 | 34.100 | 36.106 | 1013.333 | 1362.666 | 1188.000 | 386.208 | 464,669 | 425.438 | | | |
| NS | 39.013 | 34.980 | 36.996 | 946.666 | 1274.666 | 1110.666 | 369.322 | 450.201 | 409.762 | | | |
| PS | 42.053 | 40.993 | 41.523 | 966.666 | 1292.000 | 1129.333 | 406.508 | 529.633 | 468.070 | | | |
| NPS | 40.066 | 36.020 | 38.043 | 1060.000 | 1413.333 | 1236.666 | 424.717 | 509.085 | 466,901 | | | |
| Mean | 38.684 | 35.663 | | 886.666 | 1274.708 | | 343.393 | 455.184 | | | | |
| N.B. Potassi | ium was adde | d unifor | nly to the | soil at 40K | g K _a O/hecta | are. | | | | | | |
| Main plot treatment Sub plot treatment The difference of the main plot means at the same | | | | | G.D. at 5% 0.068* 0.067* | 068* 36. | | 1 | C.D. at 5% 13.649* 11.038* | | | |
| The difference level of sub pl The difference | ot | | | (| 0.107* | 49 | .370* | 1 | 8.890* | | | |
| evel of main | | | | | 0.095 * t | 40 | 0.504* | 1 | 5.611* | | | |

treatment means at the same level of basal or spray treatment was significant in all the characters considered, except the number of seeds per pod.

Of the two basal treatments, the higher dose (N₆₀ P₂₀) proved better for pods/plant, seeds/pod as well as yield of seed and oil (Tables I & II), whereas hecto-litre weight (Table I) and oil percentage (Table II) were higher at the N₃₀P₁₀ level. The enhancing effect at N₆₀P₂₀ level confirmed the earlier findings

of Naqvi (1976), Naqvi et al., (1977) and Afridi et al., (1978) and was apparently due to a higher production of pods/plant and seeds/pod as noted above. It may be mentioned that Dayanand and Mahapatra (1974) and Aulakh et al. (1977), found an increase in seed yield with higher doses of nitrogen. Such results would be expected due to ameliorative effect of this essential macronutrient on plant growth in general. As far as the effect of phosphorus is concerned, it may

be pointed out that many workers have found doses close to 20 Kg P₂O₅/hectare to give good results. Thus, Mehrotra et al. (1972) and Naqvi et al. (1977), among others, found 20-25 Kg P₂O₅/hectare as the optimum dose of this nutrient for mustard in the presence of nitrogen varying between 40 and 66 Kg N/hectare.

The lower hecto-litre weight and oil percentage in N₆₀ P₂₀ (Tables I; & II) indicate that the higher dose of nitrogen in the absence of high phosphorus depressed oil formation. Similar conclusions for nitrogen have been drawn by Arora and Bhatia (1970). However, despite its depressing effect on oil formation, the higher dose more than compensated for this drawback by producing more seeds (Table II) which ultimately resulted in higher oil yield (Table II). A similar compensating effect of nitrogen (through higher seed yields) has been reported by Sotomayor (1977).

A perusal of Tables I & II gives a clear indication of a beneficial effect of nitrogen spray (alone and with other nutrients) on pod and seed production and, consequently, on seed yield, However, the highest values for hecto-litre weight and oil percentage were obtained by the spray of PS, with that of S and P following close behind. Almost similar findings have been reported for oil percentage by Afridi et al. (1978).

It was noted that for most of the characters considered, including seed and oil yield, each spray treatment at the basal fertiliser dose of N₆₀ P₂₀ gave a higher value compared to that given by the corresponding spray at N₃₀ P₁₀ level (Tables I & II).

In comparing the differences of the values for the sub plots at the same level of main plot, it was noted that, at the lower fertiliser level (N₃₀ P₁₀), seed and oil yields were increased most by NPS spray

followed by NP and PS. Apparently, the fertiliser dose being sub-optimal, these plants developed a "hidden hunger" and responded very favourably to the spray of nutrients.

On the other hand, at the higher fertiliser level (New P20), seed yield was maximum in spray of NPS, followed by those of NP and N alone, which confirms the role of nitrogen for this criterion. However, oil yield was highest in spray of PS and was depressed considerably by sprays containing nitrogen. It appears that these plants were adequately provided with nitrogen. Hence, further application of nitrogen containing sprays lowered the oil yield by adversely affecting oil percentage and hecto-litre weight as discussed.

Interestingly, it was noted that, for each spray treatment, though higher yields were obtained with the higher basal dose i. e. N₆₀ P₂₀, the percent increase in yields over respective controls was more in N₃₀ P₂₀. This indicates that the response of leaf-applied nutrients was less with the higher basal fertiliser dose as compared to their response with the lower dose. This implies that foliar fertilisation technique may come to the rescue of the farmer if he is somehow constrained to apply full dose of fertiliser to his crop at the time of sowing.

On the basis of results presented here, spray of NPS may be recommended for obtaining seed and oil yield of Laha-101.

REFERENCES

APRIDI, M. M. R. K., A. NAQVI AND SAMPLLAN 1978. Effect of phosphorus spray on the seed quality of mustard. In: Physiology of Sexual Reproduction in Flowering Plants. Eds. C. P. Melik, A. K. Srivastava, N. G. Bhattacharya and Rattan Singh. pp. 258-261. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi.

Arora, S. K. and I. S. Bhatta 1970. Chemical composition of B. junctu as affected by nitro-

gen application. Agrochimica. 14: 198-201.

AULAKH, M. S., N. S. PASRICHA AND N. S. SAHOTA 1977. Nitrogen sulphur relationship in Brown Sarson and Indian mustard. *Indian J. Agric.* Sci., 47: 249-253.

DAYANAND AND I. C. MAHAPATRA 1974. A note on the effect of nitrogen on the grain yield of raya (B. juncea). Indian J. Agron., 19: 224-235.

MEHROTRA, O. N., N. S. SINIIA., R. D. L. SRI-VASTAVA AND R. KUMAR 1972. Effect of fertilizers on uptake of nutrients, yield and oil content of Indian mustard (B. juncea L. Czern. & Coss.). Indian Agric., 16: 49-55.

NAQVI, A. 1976. Studies of the effect of foliar nutrition on the growth and yield of barley and mustard. Ph. D. Thesis, Aligarh Muslim University.

NAQVI, A., M. M. R. K. AFRIDI AND SAMIULLAH 1977. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on the growth and yield of Laha-101. Geobios. 4: 253-254.

PARVAIZ, M. A. 1980. Mineral nutrition of mustard. Ph. D. Thesis, Aligarh Muslim University.