CHEMOTAXONOMY OF THE ACANTHACEAE II.1

L. L. NARAYANA AND V. V. L. N. SARMA

Department of Botany, Kakatiya University, Warangal.

ABSTRACT

Divergent views have been expressed regarding the circumscription of the tribe Thunbergieae. The present study on the chemotaxonomy of seven species of Thunbergia has been undertaken to see how far chemical data along with data from other disciplines would help in assessing the systematic position of the tribe Thunbergieae. In all, 24 tests have been carried out using fresh material as well as ethanolic extracts of shade dried materials. The investigated species of Thunbergia share several chemical characters between them. However, T. alata differs from other thunbergias in the weak reaction for Cigarette Test, negative reaction for Hot Water Test and doubtful presence of saponins. From the available information on the chemotaxonomy of Thunbergieae including Mendoncia, it is tentatively suggested that Thunbergieae be retained in Acanthaceae. The work of Grant (1955) and Ahmad (1974) lends support to this view.

INTRODUCTION

The family Acanthaceae comprises 250 genera and 2500 species (Willis, 1966). There is considerable difference of opinion regarding the division of the family. Bentham and Hooker (1862-1883) divided Acanthaceae into five tribes viz. Thunbergicae, Nelsonieae, Ruellieae, Acantheae and Justicieae.

The tribe Thunbergieae includes two genera—Thunbergia represented by 200 paleotropical species and Mendoncia comprising 60 species distributed throughout the Central and tropical South America and tropical Africa (Ahmad, 1974). Lindau (1895) recognised four subfamilies namely Thunbergioideae, Mendoncioideae, Nelsonioideae and Acanthoideae. The Thunbergioideae of Lindau (1895) include three genera Thunbergia, Meynia and Pseudocalyx.

Necs Von Esembeck (1847) divided the family into two groups Anechmatacantheae (with retinacula) and Echmatacantheae (with retinacula). He included *Thunbergia* and *Mendoncia* in the tribe Thunbergieae under Anechmatacantheae.

According to Van Tiegham (1903) Acanthoideae of Lindau (1895) represent the true Acanthaceae and hence created a separate family Thunbergiaceae, to include also the other subfamilies viz. Nelsonioideae, Thunbergioideae and Mendoncioideae. Wettstein (1935) also did not favour the creation of an independent family, Thunbergiaceae. He recognised only two subfamilies, namely, Thunbergioideae and Acanthoideae, the former including the first three subfamilies of Lindau (1895).

Basing on the embryological studies Mauritzon (1934) suggested the elevation of the subfamily Thunbergioideae to the rank of an independent family Thunbergiaceae.

Bremekamp (1953, 1965) after a

^{1.} Accepted for publication on January 1, 1984

Our sincere thanks are due to Prof. U. B. S. Swami for encouragement and facilities.

thorough study, elevated the two subfamilies, Thunbergioideae and Mendoncioideae, to the rank of independent families.

Subsequent workers on the basis of their studies on embryology, palynology and morphology expressed different views some supporting and some refuting Bremekamp (1953, 1965). In the present study the chemotaxonomy of 7 species of Thunbergia has been undertaken to see how far the chemotaxonomical data along with data from other disciplines would help in resolving the dispute regarding the systematic position of Thunbergicae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The following taxa have been included in the present study:

Test 'A' 9. Maule Test 10. Saponin Test 'A' 11. Ehrlich Test.

Test for hydroxyquinones were done using the method by Thomson (1957). Ethanolic extract of shade dried plant parts were used for testing for substances like saponins, flavonoids, tannins, alkaloids, indoles, leucoanthocyanins, lignans phenols and Liebermann-Burchard Test, Molisch Test, Labat Test and Noller Test. Where fresh materials were not available the shade dried materials were soaked in distilled water for several hours for carrying the above tests.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The chemotaxonomic characters of the investigated species of *Thunbergia* are presented in Table I. From the table it could be seen that the different

Sl. No.	Species	Place of collection	Collector
1.	Thunbergia affinis T. Moore	Khammam	Sarma
2.	T. alata Bojer ex Sims	Khammam	Sarma
3.	T. erecta T. Anders.	Kobenhavn, Denmark.	
4.	T. fragrans Roxb.	Khammam	Sarma
5.	T. grandiflora Roxb.	Khammam	Sarma
6.	T. kirkii Hook .f.	Lucknow	N.J. Ahmad
7.	T. mysorensis (Wt.) T. Anders.	Bangalore	Vishnu Murthy

The materials were collected at the flowering and fruiting time.

Using fresh materials the following standard tests described by Gibbs (1974) were carried out:

- 1. Cigarette Test 2. Hot Water Test
- 3. HCl/Methanol Test 4. HCN Test 'A'
- 5. Juglone Test 'A' 6. Leucoanthocyanin Test 'A' 7. Syringin Test 'A' 8. Aurone

species of Thunbergia exhibit similarities as well as differences between them. They resemble in the negative reaction for Aurone Test 'A' Ehrlich Test, HCl/Methanol Test, MCN Test 'A', Juglone Test 'A'. Leucoanthocyamin Test 'A', Syringin Test 'A', alkaloids, hydroxyquinones, indoles, Labat Test, tannins and positive reaction for Cigarette Test,

	1
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 1	Ehrlich Test HCl/Methanol Test Hot Water Test
+ + <	3 4 5 6
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ 0 1
+ + ~ + + + + + + 	
<pre>+</pre>	1
+ +	
+ + - + + + + + + +	+ 0
+ ~	- 0
+ ~ + + + + + + + + + + +	0
+ ~ + + + + + + 	+ 0
~ + + +	
+	
	0
	1

*Data from Gibbs (1974: personal communication)

flavonoids, Maule Test and Molisch Test. T. alata showed doubtful reaction for saponins, while all other thunbergias are negative. T. grandiflora was positive to Liebermann-Burchard Test and the test for lignans while T. kirkii is positive to lignans only. The rest of the species investigated gave negative reaction to both the tests. Noller Test was negative in T. fragrans and T kirkii, doubtful in T. myserensis and positive in the rest.

The colour reactions for flavonoids indicate probable presence of flavones or flavonols in T. fragrans, T. grandiflora, T. kirkii, T. myserensis and T. erecta, dihydrochalcones in T. Alata and flavanones in T. affinis. However, flavones were reported to present in T. grandiflora, T. erecta and T. fragrans (Nair, Nagarajan and Subramanian, 1965; Subramanian and Nair, 1971; Nair and Subramanian, 1974).

The information on the chemistry of species of *Mendoncia* is scanty. The available information (Gibbs, personal communication) shows that *Mendoncia pilosa* resembles other thumbergias in the absence of alkaloids and saponins. However, *Mendoncia pilosa* differs significantly in possessing tannins, a primitive character. Flavonols were reported to be absent in *M. pilosa* (Gibbs, personal communication).

Bremekamp (1955) divided Thunbergia into -8 subgenera. They are Subgenus:

- 1. Coniostephanus: T. affinis, T. erecta.
- 2. Thammidium: T. kirkii
- 3. Parahexacentris: T. alata
- 4. Hexacentris: T. grandiflora, T. mysorensis
- 5. Adelphia: T. fragrans
- 6. Hypenophora: Nil
- 7. Euthumbergia: Nil
- 8. Macrosiphon: Nil

Chemotaxonomy of seven species of

Thunbergia shows that Parahexacentris differ from the other in week reaction for Cigarette Test, negative reaction for Hot Water Test and doubtful presence of saponins.

Based on the characters of hair-base Rizzine (1948) divided the genus Mendoncia into four subgenera viz. Dialyactinocithus, Bremekampia, Gameactinocithus and Anactinocithus.

The subfamilies Mendoncioideae and Thumbergioileae share between them certain characters such as the winding habit, possessing prominent bracteoles, axillary flowers and small-sized calyx. The Mendonciodideae differ significantly from the members of Acanthaceae in having drupaceous fruits with one or rarely two ovoid seeds. However, the Thumbergioideae stand distinct in their climbing habit, beaked capsular fruit, four rounded ovules and absence of jaculators.

Grant (1955) on cytological grounds does not support the elevation of Mendencioideae and Thumbergioideae into independent families. Mohan Ram and Wadhi (1965) and Sahi and Dixit (1969) on morphological grounds suggested the elevation of Thunbergieae into a distinct family. On the basis of palynological studies, Chaubal (1966) preffered the separation of Thunbergia complex from Acanthaceae. Khaleel and Boraiah (1973) basing on embryological studies support the separation of Thunbergia into Thumbergiaceae, thus agreeing Mauritzon (1934). Ahmad (1974) noticed certain important differences in the epidermal characters between the species of Mendoncia and Thumbergia. Basing on his studies of epidermal characters, he assigns Thunbergioideae the status of a subfamily in Acanthaceae. Wermham (1912) regards that the tribe Thumbergieae is closely related to Convolvulaceae. But "the amisostemonous androccium (four stamens) and the primitive retinacula of *Thunbargia* justify its inclusion in the higher family" (Wernham, 1912).

The species of Thumbergia under the present study show that the chemical characters of Thumbergieae (Thumbergia) do not support the creation of an independent family but support the status of a tribe within Acanthaceae.

REFERENCES

- AHMAD, K. J. 1974. Caticular studies in some species of Mendoncia and Thunbergia (Acanthaceae).

 Bat. J. Linn. Soc. 69: 53-63.
- BENTHAM, G. AND J. D. HOOKER 1862-1893. General Plantarum. Reeve & Co., London.
- Bremerame, C. E. E. 1953. The delimitation of the Acanthaceae. Proc. Koninkl. Akad. Wet. Amst. 56: 533-546.
- Brimecamp, G. E. B. 1955. The Thunbergia species of the Malesian area. Verh. K. ned. Akad. Wet., Afd. nat. (Ser. 2) 50: 1-90.
- Bremecame, G. E. B. 1965. Delimitation and subdivision of the Acanthaceae. Bull. bot. Surv. India, 7: 21-30.
- GHAURAL, P. D. 19°6. Palynological Studies on the Family Acantheceae. Thesis, Poona University.
- Ginns, R. D. 1947. Chemotaxonomy of Flowering Plants. Vol. I-IV. Mc Gill Queen's University Press, London.
- GRANT, W. F. 1955. A cytogenetic study in the Acanthaceae, Brittonia 8: 121-149.
- KHALEEL, T. F. AND G. BORAIAH 1973. Cytoembryology of Thunbergia mysorensis T. Anders. Proc. Indian Nat. Sci. Acad. 39: 157-171.

- LINDA; G. 1895. Acanthaceae, In: A. Engler & K. Prautl, Die naturlichen Pflanzenfamilien. 4: 274-354.
- MAURITZEN, J. 1934. Die Endosperm and Embrycentwicklung einiger Acanthaccen. Acta Univ. Lund. 30: 1-41.
- Mohan Ram, H. Y. and M. Wadhi 1965. Embryology and the delimitation of the Acanthaceae. Piytomorphology. 15: 201-5.
- NAIR, A. G. RAMAGHANDRAN S. NAGARAJAN AND S. SANKARA SUBRAMANIAN 1965. Lutcolin as a characteristic flavone of Acanthaceae, Curr. Sci. 34: 79-80.
- NAIR, A. G. AND S. SANKARA SUBRAMANIAN 1974. Apegenin glycosides from Thunbergia fragrans and Ruellia tuberosa. Curr. Sci. 43: 480.
- NEES VON ESENBECK, C. G. 1847. Acanthaceae. 1n: A. P. De Candollo, Prodromus Systematis Naturalis Regni Vegetabilis 11: 46-519 Praris.
- Rizzini, C. T. 1943. Disquisite circa Acantlacearum aliquot genera Br silicosia. Arquives do Jardin Botanico Rie de Janeire. 8: 295-372.
- SAIII, B. G. AND S. N. DIXIT 1969. Acanthaceae. A phylogenetic and taxonomic consideration. *Plant Sci.* 1: 101-8.
- Subramanian, S. Sankara and A. G. R. Nair 1971. Flavonoids of Thunbergia grandiflora and Asystasia travancorica. Curr. Sci. 40: 404.
- THOMSON, R. H. 1957. Naturally Occurring Quinones. New York.
- VAN TIEOMAM, PH. 1908. Structure de l'evule et direction de l'evule dans la graine de Acanthacees. J. Bot. Prais Ser 2, 1:5-11.
- WERNHAM, H. F. 1912. Floral evolution: with particular reference to the sympetalous dicotyledons. New Phytol. 11: 145-166.
- WETTSTEIN, R. VON 1935. Handbuch der systematischen Botanik. Wiem.
- WILLIS, J. C. 1966. A Dictionary of Flowering Plants and Ferns. Cambridge University Press, London.