

# MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF AN ENDANGERED SPECIES-EUGENIA CALCADENSIS

K. DHARMAR<sup>\*</sup> AND Y. ERKINGS MICHAEL

Department of Botany, Pasumpon Thiru Muthuramalinga Thevar Memorial College, Kamuthi-623 604, Tamilnadu, India \*Corresponding author, E-mail:dharmarsk@gmail.com Date of Online Publication:31st March 2017

The RAPD technique was used to access the genetic diversity of *Eugenia calcadensis* Bedd. an IUCN red listed species collected from Agasthiarmalai Biosphere Reserve in the southern Western Ghats of India. Twenty primers produced 161 reproducible bands with 33 % polymorphism. The EC<sub>4</sub> population displayed the highest percentage of polymorphism (33.54) and highest level of genetic variability (0.15). The UPGMA phenetic dendrogram showed distinct separation of five locations into two major groups having 90 % similarity. Among the accession studied, the Mancholai (EC<sub>4</sub>) accession is considered as the superior genotype because of highest level of genetic variability and percentage of polymorphism.

Keywords: Eugenia calcadensis, Myrtaceae, Western Ghats, Genetic diversity.

Eugenia calcadensis Bedd., locally known as Chennelli, is a vulnerable, small woody evergreen tree of the family Myrtaceae. It is narrow endemic in and around of Pabanasam and Singampatti hills of the southern Western Ghats of India (WCMC 1998). Due to habitat loss, the species is depleting at an alarming rate hence enlisted as threatened species (IUCN ver 2.3, 2016-3: Viswanath et al. 2014). Molecular markers are powerful tool for analysis of genetic diversity including gene flow, genetic distance, genetic identity (Williams et al. 1990, Zucchi et al. 2005). They are employed to identify superior genotype for future in-vitro conservation (Rameesur and Sanmukhiyai 2011). In the present study, an attempt has been made to find out genetic diversity of Eugenia calcadensis.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# **Plant Materials**

Plants growing at five different sites (Karaiyar, Kezhamailar, Sorimuthuaiyanarcoil, Mancholai and Mundanthurai were selected for present study. The voucher specimen (Collection No.76846) was deposited in JNTBGRI, Palode, Thiruvananthapuram.

# Genomic DNA isolation and purification

Tender leaves were collected from different accessions and stored immediately at -70°C for DNA extraction. It was stored in deep freezer

(REMI,India) Total genomic DNA was extracted using the modified CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle 1987) and purified according to the Sambrook and Russel (2000). Concentration of the purified genomic DNA was adjusted to 10 ng/  $\mu$ l in different aliquots and stored at -20°C for PCR amplification.

# **PCR** reaction

A total of thirty RAPD primers were used for amplification of the genomic DNA (Williams et al. 1990). PCR reactions were carried out in a final volume of 25  $\mu$ l, which contained 2.5  $\mu$ l 10X taq polymerase buffer, 2.0 µl of deoxyribonucletides (dNTPs), 3.5 µl MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1 µl of taq DNA polymerase, 2.0 µl of deca oligonucleotide primer, 2.0 µl of template DNA and 12.9 µl of sterile dis.H<sub>2</sub>0. The reaction mixture was subjected to programmed PCR-amplification in a Thermocycler (Eppendorf). Amplification process included, initial denaturation of DNA at 95°C for 5 minutes, denaturation 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 35°C for 1 minute and extension at 72°C for 2 minutes followed by thirty five cycles and final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes followed by storage at 4°C till electrophoresed. The amplification products were resolved by electrophoresis on 1.5 % agarose gel containing ethidium bromide along with 1 Kb ladder DNA as a standard molecular weight size marker. The UV transilluminator was used

#### to visualize the gels.

#### Data analysis

Amplification profiles of five populations were compared with each other. The standard coefficient method (Nei and Li 1979) was used to calculate the Genetic similarity matrix among populations of each samples. The dendrogram was constructed using the UPGMA (Unweighed Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Average) (Sneath and Sokal 1973) algorithm in SHAN clustering module of NTSYS-pc software version 1.5 (Rohlf, 1989). The genetic diversity within and between populations according to Nei's formula (Nei, 1973) was calculated using POPGENE package version 1.31software (Yeh *et al.* 1999).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The RAPD technique was used to characterize the populations of Eugenia calcadensis and establish the relationships between them. In the initial step, 30 arbitrary 10-mer primers were first screened, of which 20 primers produced reproducible, multiple band profiles with fragments that varied from 5 to 10. The same types of band were observed at different frequencies in all populations. Many additional bands were neglected which were not reproducible. The size of the RAPD fragments varied from 0.2 to 1.0 kbp (Fig 2.). Nei's overall genetic diversity or heterozygosity was 0.0765. Dharmar and John De Britto (2015) reported that 12 ISSR primers produced 70.59% of polymorphic band in *Plumbago* 

*zeylanica*. Ciarmiello *et al.* (2015) reported that 16 RAPD primers produced 278 reproducible bands of which 220 (79.13%) were polymorphic in fig tree. A great deal of genetic polymorphism has observed among the accessions of *Jatropha curcus*. Among 20 primers were reproduced averages of 7 bands with 57 % polymorphism (Dhakshanamoorthy *et al.* 2015). Our results showed 161 reproducible bands and 33 % polymorphism. The highest percentage of polymorphism was 33.54 in the EC<sub>4</sub> accession and the lowest percentage of polymorphism was 22.36 in the EC<sub>2</sub> accession (Table 1).

#### Genetic differentiation and relationships

Genetic and gene diversity measures were calculated and tabulated in Table 1. The EC<sub>2</sub> population was found to be least diverse (0.09). The EC<sub>4</sub> population displayed the highest level of variability (0.15) and the EC<sub>1</sub> population revealed intermediate diversity (0.14). The genetic distance between the population ranged from 0.0575 to 0.1636 and the genetic identity ranged from 0.8509 to 0.9441 (Table 2). The overall observed and effective numbers of alleles were 1.2050 and 1.1274 respectively.

The average gene diversity within populations (*Hs*) was 0.31, the highest *Hs* was 0.7867 and the lowest *Hs* was 0.2009. The total diversity ( $H_{\tau}$ ) ranged from 0.2956 to 0.4787 and the average was 0.3916. The mean genetic differentiation ( $G_{sT}$ ) between populations over all loci was 0.28 and the  $G_{sT}$  ranged from 0.1477 to 0.3892. The gene frequency ranged from 0.7403 to 0.7478 and the average was 0.7434. The observed number of alleles (*Na*)

Table 1. Analysis of polymorphism obtained with RAPD primers in different accessions of E. calcadensis

| Accession       | Na     | Ne     | Н      | Ι      | NPL | % of polymorphism |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----|-------------------|
| EC <sub>1</sub> | 1.2730 | 1.1976 | 0.1093 | 0.1592 | 44  | 27.31             |
| EC <sub>2</sub> | 1.2236 | 1.1792 | 0.0978 | 0.1402 | 36  | 22.36             |
| EC <sub>3</sub> | 1.2547 | 1.1912 | 0.1067 | 0.1546 | 41  | 25.47             |
| EC <sub>4</sub> | 1.3354 | 1.2826 | 0.1510 | 0.2147 | 54  | 33.54             |
| EC <sub>5</sub> | 1.2795 | 1.2407 | 0.1274 | 0.1807 | 45  | 27.95             |

 $EC_1$  – Karaiyar;  $EC_2$  – Kezhamailar  $EC_3$  – Sorimuthuaiyanarcoil;

 $EC_4$  – Mancholai;  $EC_5$  – Mundanthurai. Na – Observed number of alleles;

Ne - Effective number of alleles; H - Gene diversity;

I - Shannon Information Index; NPL - Number of Polymorphic Loci

| Accession       | $EC_1$ | EC <sub>2</sub> | EC <sub>3</sub> | EC <sub>4</sub> | EC <sub>5</sub> |
|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| EC <sub>1</sub> | ****   | 0.8509          | 0.8590          | 0.8538          | 0.8820          |
| $EC_2$          | 0.1624 | ****            | 0.9379          | 0.9255          | 0.9441          |
| $EC_3$          | 0.1612 | 0.0641          | ****            | 0.9379          | 0.9441          |
| $EC_4$          | 0.1636 | 0.0775          | 0.0641          | ****            | 0.9193          |
| EC <sub>5</sub> | 0.1256 | 0.0575          | 0.0575          | 0.0842          | ***             |

Table 2. Nei's unbiased measures of Genetic distance and Genetic identity for E.calcadensis

Table 3. Genetic and gene diversity within and between the populations of E. calcadensis for RAPD markers

| S.<br>No. | Primers | Sequence 5'-3' | No. of<br>polymorphic<br>fragments | H <sub>T</sub> | Hs     | GST    | Nm      | Band<br>Frequency |
|-----------|---------|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|---------|-------------------|
| 1         | OPA 01  | CAGGCCCTTC     | 7                                  | 0.4787         | 0.3837 | 0.3240 | 7.7802  | 0.7256            |
| 2         | OPA02   | TGCCFAGCTG     | 9                                  | 0.2956         | 0.2587 | 0.2977 | 11.1778 | 0.7474            |
| 3         | OPA03   | AGTCAGCCAC     | 5                                  | 0.4039         | 0.3198 | 0.2397 | 5.4791  | 0.7403            |
| 4         | OPA07   | CTGCATCGTC     | 5                                  | 0.2956         | 0.2587 | 0.2077 | 11.1778 | 0.7403            |
| 5         | OPA08   | CAAACACCCC     | 9                                  | 0.3538         | 0.2751 | 0.2582 | 11.9325 | 0.7468            |
| 6         | OPA10   | GTGATCGCAG     | 8                                  | 0.4052         | 0.2675 | 0.3524 | 2.3432  | 0.7433            |
| 7         | OPB08   | GTCCACACGG     | 8                                  | 0.4747         | 0.7867 | 0.1650 | 2.8759  | 0.7478            |
| 8         | OPB11   | GTAGACCGGT     | 7                                  | 0.4239         | 0.3140 | 0.2673 | 1.4862  | 0.7474            |
| 9         | OPB14   | TCCGCTCTGG     | 8                                  | 0.3996         | 0.2588 | 0.3603 | 0.9203  | 0.7442            |
| 10        | OPB17   | AGGGAACGAG     | 9                                  | 0.3558         | 0.2209 | 0.3789 | 0.8378  | 0.7439            |
| 11        | OPB20   | GGACCCTTAC     | 10                                 | 0.4657         | 0.3810 | 0.1754 | 3.6734  | 0.7415            |
| 12        | OPH01   | GGTCGGAGAA     | 9                                  | 0.3224         | 0.2303 | 0.2860 | 1.9334  | 0.7427            |
| 13        | OPH03   | AGACGTCCAC     | 8                                  | 0.3412         | 0.2257 | 0.3598 | 2.0714  | 0.7475            |
| 14        | OPH06   | ACGCATCGCA     | 6                                  | 0.3314         | 0.2264 | 0.3098 | 0.9965  | 0.7435            |
| 15        | OPH10   | CCTACGTCAG     | 10                                 | 0.4787         | 0.4071 | 0.1477 | 5.9193  | 0.7414            |
| 16        | OPX04   | CCGCTACCGA     | 9                                  | 0.4461         | 0.3063 | 0.3007 | 7.2230  | 0.7408            |
| 17        | OPX05   | CCTTTCCTTC     | 7                                  | 0.4379         | 0.3567 | 0.1911 | 3.2890  | 0.7470            |
| 18        | OPX09   | GGTCTGGTTG     | 10                                 | 0.4234         | 0.2999 | 0.2886 | 2.2701  | 0.7461            |
| 19        | OPX12   | CAGACAAGCC     | 9                                  | 0.3756         | 0.2766 | 0.3891 | 1.4425  | 0.7453            |
| 20        | OPX18   | CAGAAATGGA     | 8                                  | 0.3234         | 0.2009 | 0.3892 | 0.7790  | 0.7461            |

 $H_{\tau}$ -Total diversity; Hs-Gene diversity within populations;  $G_{s\tau}$ -Genetic differentiation; Nm-Gene flow

ranged from 1.2236 to 1.3354. The  $EC_2$  population found to be least diverse (1.22). The  $EC_4$  population displayed the highest level of variability (1.33) and average was 1.2732. The mean effective number of alleles (*Ne*) was

1.2182, highest was 1.1976 in EC<sub>1</sub> and lowest was 1.2407 in EC<sub>5</sub> Shannon Information Index (*I*) ranged from 0.1402 to 0.2147 and the average was 0.16988. The mean number of polymorphic loci (NPL) was 44 and ranged

from 36 to 54 for all the accessions of *E*. *calcadensis* which were depicted in the table 3.

Zucchi *et al.* (2005) reported that the genetic variability of ten *Eugenia dysenterica* populations characterized had 27.03% and 72.97% genetic variability. These populations are differentiating with restricted and geographic distribution dependent gene flow. Present study reveals that mean genetic diversity (*H*) ranged from 0.0978 to 0.1510. The average gene flow from one population to the other generation (*Nm*) was 4.2804 while the lowest was 0.7790 and the highest was 1.9325. This comparison had made because of data obtained with other markers, which allow greater number of loci than our screened markers.

# Correlation between populations using Similarity index.

In order to study the correlation between populations, UPGMA algorithm was used to draw a dendrogram for the five populations (Fig 1). Using *Jaccard*'s matrix a dendrogram was produced based on similarity index (SI), which showed distinct separation of five locations into two major groups having 90 % similarity. Between the two major clusters, the upper cluster (UC) possessed EC<sub>1</sub>, EC<sub>2</sub> and EC<sub>5</sub> accessions. Further, the accessions of the UC had grouped into two major sub clusters having 93 % similarity. The upper sub cluster 2 (USC 2) was further sub divided into USC 2A and USC 2B while the upper sub cluster 1 (USC 1) contained a solitary collection of EC<sub>1</sub>. The USC2A comprised of EC<sub>2</sub> and the USC2B comprised of EC<sub>5</sub> with 96 % similarity. The accession belonging to the lower cluster (LC) was divided into two sub clusters LSC1 collected from EC<sub>3</sub> and LSC2 from EC<sub>4</sub> having 92 % similarity.

Rashmi *et al.* (2004) suggested that the understanding of genetic variability within the species is an important input to determine the future *in vitro* conservation approach. Among the accessions studied, the Mancholai (EC<sub>4</sub>) accession was considered as the superior genotype based on high level of genetic variability (0.15) and percentage of polymorphism (33.54%).

#### CONCLUSION

This study reveals that RAPD technique is very effective in determining the genetic diversity and important for identifying the superior genotypes of *E.calcadensis* based on the polymorphism and genetic variability among the five accessions. Overall percentage of





polymorphism is low due to high narrow endemic nature of this species. Among the accessions studied, the Mancholai ( $EC_4$ ) accession has highest level of genetic variability and percentage of polymorphism and consider as the superior genotype. Hence there is chance to long term viability of this population and it is a valuable part of strategies for ex situ conservation of this important endangered plant.

The authors are thankful to University Grant Commission (UGC), Government of India,

Figure 2. RAPD-PCR Fingerprinting of Eugenia calcadensis

New Delhi for providing financial assistance (Ref.No.41-553/2012) under Major Research Project.

#### REFERENCES

Ciarmiello L, Pasquale Piccirillo, Petronia Carillo, Antonio De Luca and Pasqualina Woodrow (2015). Determination of the genetic relatedness of fig (*Ficus carica* L.) accessions using RAPD fingerprint and their agro-morphological characterization. *South Afric J Bot.* **97** 40-47.

Dhakshanamoorthy D, Selvaraj R and Chidambaram A 2015 Utility of RAPD marker for genetic diversity analysis in gamma rays and ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS)- treated *Jatropha curcas* plants, *Mol boil genet*. **338** 75-82.

Dharmar K and John De Britto A 2015 Analysis of Genetic Diversity of *Plumbago zeylanica* L. ScieXplore: *International Journal of Research in Science*. **2(1)** 19–22.

Doyle J J and Doyle J L 1987 A rapid DNA isolation procedures for small quantities of fresh leaf tissues. *Phytochemistry*. **19** 11-15.

Nei M 1973 Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. *Proc Nat Acad Sci.* **70** 3321-3323.

Nei M and Li W H 1979 Mathematical mode for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* **76** 5269-5273.

Rameesur A D and Sanmukhiyai R 2011 RAPD Marker- assisted Identification of Genetic Diversity among Mango (*Mangifera indica*) Varieties in Mauritius, *Int J Agric Bio.l* **13** 167-173.

Rashmi M, Nanda S, Nayak and Rout G R 2004 Studies on genetic relatedness of *Acacia* tree species using RAPD markers. *Biol Bratislava*. **59** 115-120. Rohlf R J 1989 NTSYS-PC. *Numerical taxonomy and multivariate analysis system.* version, 1.50. Exeter Publication Ltd., New York

Sambrook and Russell 2000 Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual. Third Edi, CSHL Press. Pp. 78-85.

Sidhu Y 2010 *In vitro* micropropagation of medicinal plants by tissue culture, *Plymo Stud Scient* 432-449.

Sneath P H A and Sokal R R 1973 *Numerical Taxonomy*. WH Freeman and Company, San Francisco.

Viswanath S C, Sreekumar V B, Sujanapal P, Suganthasakthivel R and Sreejith KA 2014 *Eugenia singampattiana* Beddome: a critically endangered medicinal tree from Southern Western Ghats, India. *J Pharmaco Phytochem.* **3** 178-182.

Williams J G K, Kubelik A R, Livak K J, Rafalski J A and Tingey SV 1990 DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. *Nucleic Acids Research*. **18** 6531-6535.

World Conservation Monitoring Centre (1998). "Eugenia calcadensis", Version 2012 International Union for Conservation of Nature, Retrieved 25 May 2013.

Yeh F C, Yang R C, Boyle T J B, Ye Z H and Mao J X 1999 POPGENE, the user-friendly shareware for population genetic analysis, Molecular Biology and Biotechnology Centre, University of Alberta, Canada.

Zucchi M I, Pinheiro J B, Chaves L J, Coelho ASG, Couto MA, de Morais LKand Vencovsky R 2005 Genetic structure and gene flow of *Eugenia dysenterica* natural populations, Pesq Agropec *Bras Brasília* **40** 975-980.