Effect of Leaf Extract of Chenopodium album on the Development of Fruit Rot in Tomato Caused by Aspergillus niger in Presence of Drosophila busckii

Purnima Sinha & S.K. Saxena Botany Department, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202 001

(Accepted June 1987)

Leaf extract of Chenopodium album suppressed the fruit rot caused by Aspergillus niger. It also adversely affected Drosophila busckii, which aggravated fruit rot.

Key Words Extract Fruit-rot Reduction .

Fruit rot of tomato caused by Aspergillus niger is aggravated by the insect Drosophila buschii. Control of the fungus and insect has been a problem. In earlier studies (Sinha & Saxena, 1986) extracts of a few plants have given good protection to fruits. We have tested the efficacy of extract of Chenopodium album to control the fruit rot caused by A. niger in presence of D. buschii.

Leaf extract of *C. album* was prepared by grinding 10 g of freshly collected washed leaves in 100 ml of distilled water. The extract was filtered through Whatman filter paper no.1 and dilutions were made in sterile distilled water. Healthy tomato fruits were inoculated with spores of *A. niger* by pin-prick method both prior and after the treatment with leaf extract and kept in sterile desiccators. Adults of *D. busckii* were released at different intervals i.e., 10, 20 and 30 minutes. In other sets the treated fruits were fed to *D. busckii* and inoculated with the fungus only. Suitable controls were kept. Intensities of fruit rot were recorded after 2, 5, 7, 10 and 15 days.

No rotting of fruits developed up to 15 days in those treated with the leaf extract of *C. album* when fruits were fed to insect at all feeding durations (Table 1). No rotting of fruits by *A. niger* occurred when treated with leaf extract both prior and after inoculation up to 5 days.

Severe infection occurred only after 15 days. Insect feeding, no doubt aggravated the rotting of fruits but it was less in fruits treated with leaf extract after the inoculation with the fungus. When fruits were fed to insects and inoculated with spores of A. niger before and after treatment with the extract, the protection continued for 5 days. In short duration feeding (10 min) the protection lasted longer. The efficacy of leaf extract, however, decreased with increased dilution.

It is likely that chemicals such as phenols and alkaloids present in the plant act as repellent a toxicant to insect and inhibitors to the fungus as reported (Yoshii & Salxo, 1967) against virus and (Ahmad et al., 1973) against Puccinia coronata. We propose that the leaf extract of C. album may be used for protecting fruits against fruit rot pathogen.

REFERENCES

AHMED S T, S P RAYCHAUDHRI & A M KHAN 1973 Studies on the crown rust of Oats I Inhibition of uredospore germination in aqueous leaf extracts *Indian Phytopath* 26 739-741.

SINIIA P & S K SAXENA 1986 Effect of inoculating the tomatoes with neem leaf powder and water extract leaf in the presence of *D. busckii* on the development of fruit rot *Sci Cult* 52 102-103.

YOSIIIII & NSALXO 1967 Inhibitory effect of Chenopodium sap. on virus infection hypersensitive reaction of plant cytoplasm against incompatible inhibitor, Chenopodium sap. Ann Phytopath Soc 33 244-252.

Table 1 Effect of treatment of Fruits with Leaf extract of C. album on the Development of Fruit Rot of Tomato Caused by A. niger in presence of D. busckii.

	Conc. of		Symptoms after (Days)			
Freatment	extract (%)	2	5	7	10	15
reated with leaf extract	•					
Uninoculated and unfed	S	•	-	+	++	+++
	1.0	- "	-	+	++	++
	0.1	-	-	+	++	+++
noculated with fungus			_	++	++	
ifter treatment	S 1.0	•	+ -	++	++	+++
	0.1		+	++	++	+++
and with firmone						
noculated with fungus perfore treatment	S	-	-	++	++	+++
More desentent	1.0		+	++	+++	+++
	0.1	-	+	++	+++	+++
Inoculated with fungus and assect fed (min) after treatment						
	s	• •		<u>.</u>		_
10	1.0	-	-	++	+++	++-
	0.1	-	-	++	+++	++-
20	S	· 	_	++	++	++
20	1.0	-	-	++	+++	++-
	0.1	<u>-</u>	-	++	+++	++-
30	S	_	v -	++	+++	++
30	1.0	-	-	++	+++	++
	0.1	-	-	++	+++	++-
Inoculated with fungus and insect fed (min) after treatment						
10	S	· -	-	-	-	++
	1.0	-	-	,++	+++	++
	0.1	-	- ,,2,,	++	++	++
20	S	-	-	++	++	++
	1.0) -	-	++	+++	++
	0.1	-	- '	+++	+++	++
30	S	-	-	-	-	++
	1.0	-	-	*+	++	++
	0.1	-	-	+	+++	++
Insect fed alone (min)	S	_	_	-	-	-
10	3 1.0	-	-	-	-	-
	0.1	•	-	+	+	++
20	S	_	_	_		-
20	3 1.0	-	-	-	-	-
	0.1	-	. <u>-</u>	+	+	++
20	S		_	_	-	_
30	1.0	-	-	+	+.	++
	0.1	-		•	+	++

⁽⁻⁾ Nil, (+) Poor, (++) Moderate and (+++) | Severe. S= standard.